

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commission 2 **COMMISSIONERS** DOCKETED 3 MARC SPITZER, Chairman AUG 1 0 2004 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON DOCKETED BY 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES 6 DOCKET NO. T-02532A-03-0017 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 7 MIDVALE TELEPHONE EXCHANGE, INC. FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PROVIDE FACILITIES-8 BASED BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE 67156 DECISION NO. AND TOLL ACCESS TELEPHONE SERVICE TO 9 CURRENTLY UNSERVED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS KNOWN AS CROSSROADS 10 RANCH, POOUITO VALLEY AND BREEZY **SUPPLEMENTAL OPINION AND ORDER** PINE. 11 August 20, 2003; February 26, 2004 (Public Comment DATE OF HEARING: 12 in Prescott, Arizona); June 14, 2004 13 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: Philip J. Dion III and Dwight D. Nodes 15 APPEARANCES: Conley Ward, GIVENS PURSLEY, LL.P and Ann R. Hobart, BROWN & BAIN, P.A., for Midvale Telephone 16 Exchange; and 17 Gary Horton, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation 18 Commission. 19 BY THE COMMISSION: 20 On January 10, 2003, Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc. ("Midvale" or "Company") filed 21 with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its 22 existing Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate" or "CC&N") to provide local 23 telephone service in Yavapai County, Arizona. On April 15, 2003, Midvale amended its application 24 to include a request to provide Extended Area Service ("EAS") between Midvale's Millsite Exchange 25 and Qwest Corporation's ("Qwest") Prescott local calling area. Midvale also requested that the

Commission approve EAS between its Millsite Exchange and Table Top Telephone Company's

28

26

27

("Table Top") Inscription Canyon Ranch Exchange.

5

10 11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19 20

22

21

24

23

25 26

27

28

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Midvale is an Idaho corporation that currently provides local telephone exchange 1. service to approximately 935 customers in five exchanges in Arizona.
- On January 10, 2003, Midvale filed with the Commission an application to extend its existing Certificate to provide local telephone service to an area in Midvale's Millsite Exchange, near Prescott in Yavapai County, Arizona. Midvale later amended its application to request two-way EAS service between its Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott local calling area1, and Table Top's Inscription Ranch Exchange.
- By Procedural Order dated May 29, 2003, a hearing was set for August 20, 2003 in 3. Phoenix, Arizona.
- On August 1, 2003, Staff filed its Staff Report recommending approval of the CC&N 4. extension and Midvale's request for two-way EAS, subject to certain conditions.
- 5. By Procedural Order dated August 13, 2003, Qwest and Table Top were granted intervention.
 - 6. On August 13, 2003, Owest filed comments regarding the Staff Report in this matter.
- On August 20, 2003, the hearing was held as scheduled before a duly authorized 7. Administrative Law Judge of the Commission. Staff and Midvale appeared with the assistance of counsel. Table Top appeared without the assistance of counsel. Owest did not appear. During the hearing, testimony was taken and exhibits were entered into the record. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement.
- 8. On November 10, 2003, the Commission issued Decision No. 66510, which approved Midvale's application in the above-captioned docket to extend its CC&N to provide local telephone service in the Company's Millsite Exchange. However, we found that the record was insufficient to approve two-way EAS between the Midvale, Qwest and Table Top exchanges. The Commission

¹ The Prescott "local calling area" includes Qwest's Prescott, Humboldt, and Chino Valley exchanges.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

stated in Decision No. 66510 that, prior to approving the requested EAS, additional evidence should be submitted regarding: (a) the community of interest between Midvale and Table Top and Qwest's Prescott local calling area; (b) the costs associated with providing two-way EAS between Qwest and Midvale, Owest and Table Top and Midvale and Table Top; (c) the financial impact on the customers of Midvale, Table Top and Owest if two-way EAS is ordered; and (d) whether a substantial majority of the present and future customers of Midvale, and the customers of Table Top, support the implementation of the requested EAS after being advised of the potential rate impacts associated with the establishment of EAS service. Accordingly, we ordered Midvale, Table Top and Qwest to make certain filings within a prescribed period of time (Decision No. 66510, at 14-15).

- 9. On December 3, 2003, Table Top made a filing indicating it will no longer be participating in this matter as it does not want to pursue establishing EAS with Qwest or Midvale at this time.
- On December 10, 2003, Qwest filed documentation that it deemed confidential 10. regarding the costs it would incur as a result of establishing EAS with Midvale. According to Staff, Owest's estimated capital costs and expenses for EAS with Midvale are "de minimus" (January 9, 2004 Staff Report at 2).
- 11. On December 11, 2003, Midvale filed documentation regarding the costs it would incur if EAS is established with Qwest.
- 12. On December 11, 2003, a Procedural Order was issued that reiterated deadlines for filing certain information. The Procedural Order also scheduled a public comment hearing in Prescott, Arizona on February 26, 2004.
- 13. On January 9, 2004, Staff filed a Staff Report in compliance with Decision No. 66510. Staff's analysis indicated that an additional monthly customer charge of \$4.67 to \$6.10 would be required to fully recover the costs associated with Midvale's facilities investments.
- 14. On February 9, 2004, Staff filed an amended Staff Report that updated certain schedules that were filed with the January 9, 2004 Staff Report. Staff's amended schedules indicate per customer monthly charges of between \$3.98 and \$11.70 depending on the rate of return and number of customers assumed in the analysis.

- 15. On February 11, 2004, Midvale submitted a "Customer Poll" that it mailed to customers regarding customer support for EAS. The Customer Poll also notified customers of the February 26, 2004 public comment session in Prescott.
- 16. On February 26, 2004, the public comment hearing was conducted as scheduled at the Prescott City Hall Chambers in Prescott, Arizona. Chairman Spitzer and Commissioners Mundell, Hatch-Miller and Mayes conducted the public comment hearing. Representatives of the Commission's Staff and Midvale also offered comments at the Prescott public comment session. Seven members of the public made comments at the hearing in support of Midvale's application to establish EAS with Qwest's Prescott local calling area. Customers offering comments also indicated a willingness pay additional costs associated with the establishment of EAS.
- On March 1, 2004, Midvale filed information regarding the responses it received to its poll that was distributed to its customers regarding its application for EAS and costs associated with such service.
- 18. On March 9, 2004, Staff filed another Staff Report. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that it had completed its evaluation of Midvale's application and the subsequent filings made in this matter. Staff recommended that two-way EAS between Midvale and Qwest be implemented with no additional monthly charge.
- 19. On April 27, 2004, Midvale docketed supplemental information regarding the responses it received from its customers regarding the EAS poll it sent to those customers.
- 20. Midvale asserts that public interest considerations support the establishment of EAS between the Millsite Exchange, including the extension previously approved in this docket, and Qwest's Prescott local calling area. Midvale therefore requests that the Commission require the provision of two-way EAS between Midvale's Millsite Exchange, and Qwest's Prescott local calling area.

Community of Interest Considerations

As a general rule, state regulatory Commissions consider whether EAS should be implemented by conducting analyses designed to determine whether a strong enough community of interest exists between exchanges to warrant EAS. One commonly used definition of whether a

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 sufficient community of interest exists is: contiguous geographic areas which may be recognized as separate localities, but share common interests and services with respect to government, schools, health services, public safety and emergency services, and retail businesses (P.U.R. Glossary for Utility Management, Public Utility Reports, Inc., Arlington, Virginia, 1992.)

- Based on its investigation, including a site visit to the Millsite Exchange and 22. surrounding areas, Staff stated that it was able to gain perspective from residents of the area relative to the request for EAS. According to Staff, the visits were particularly helpful in assessing the contiguity of the Millsite Exchange area with the City of Prescott and the services available in the Prescott area. Staff also found, among other things, that it was not possible to complete cell phone calls from a number of locations within the recently expanded Millsite Exchange.
- In determining whether a "community of interest" exists between the exchanges to 23. warrant EAS, Staff found the following:
 - a. The community of interest includes the City of Prescott,
 - There are no commercial entities in the Millsite extension area. Residents b. must go to Chino Valley, Prescott Valley or Prescott, all of which are located in Owest's Prescott local calling area;
 - There are no schools in the Millsite extension area. Children must attend c. schools in the Prescott, Humbolt or Chino Valley School Districts, all of which are located in Qwest's Prescott local calling area;
 - d. The area is contiguous to areas that are currently being served by Qwest.
 - A check of the Qwest Yellow Pages for the area reveals that the hospitals listed e. in the area are located in Prescott; and
 - f. The main Yavapai County offices are located in Prescott.
- 24. Midvale currently has service areas that are contiguous to Qwest's Prescott Exchange. Staff stated that, in some instances, the service areas of Midvale and Table Top are located between a non-contiguous portion of Qwest's Prescott Exchange and the main body of the Prescott Exchange. As indicated above, the local calling area for Owest customers includes the communities of Prescott, Chino Valley and Humbolt.

- 2
 3

- Docket No. T-00000J-02-0251.

- 25. Staff also pointed out that if Qwest had applied to extend service to the area now served by Midvale, customers would have received the same local calling area privileges as afforded to customers that currently reside in Qwest's Prescott local calling area. According to Staff, the same calling scope can be provided by Midvale if it interconnects to the local tandem functionality of Qwest's Prescott switch.
- 26. Thus, in Staff's opinion, given the geographic relationship of the expanded Millsite Exchange to Qwest's Prescott local calling area, this same local calling area should be provided to all of Midvale's customers in its Millsite Exchange.

Costs of Implementing EAS

- 27. Qwest indicated that it believes that the calling area issues associated with unserved areas should be addressed on an industry-wide basis in the pending EAS rulemaking proceeding.² However, if the Commission were to order that Midvale's Millsite Exchange be added to the local calling area, Qwest would require Midvale to provision a local trunk group to the Prescott local tandem and to enter into an EAS agreement. Qwest stated that each company would pay its respective facility costs to implement the EAS. Qwest also indicated that there might be additional costs that would be appropriate for Midvale to pay to Qwest. Should this be the case, Staff recommended that those issues be addressed through normal inter-company negotiations. Qwest does not oppose the establishment of two-way EAS service with Midvale for its Millsite Exchange as recommended by Staff. However, Qwest recommends that the Commission set a specific deadline for establishment of EAS, preferably at least six months, in order to provide the parties with sufficient notice for completion of an EAS agreement with Midvale and related network provisioning.
- 28. On September 9, 2003, Midvale filed an exhibit reflecting the estimated per-customer cost of EAS. The cost of EAS typically consists of two components. The first is a reduction in access charges associated with the conversion of toll routes to EAS. The second is the capital costs associated with implementing EAS.

Decision No. 66171 (August 13, 2003).

- In its filing, Midvale stated that because the Millsite Exchange is a new exchange, there is no actual call data, so the loss of access revenue can only be estimated. Midvale estimated the loss of access revenue by using two separate methods. The first method is based on an estimate of actual access call data from the Company's Cascabel and Young Exchanges. The other calculation is based on a study of calling patterns between the Cascabel Exchange and Qwest's Benson Exchange. Midvale stated these methods yielded a per-month, per line average cost of lost access revenues of \$10.45. According to Midvale, this methodology was reviewed by Staff in the Granite Mountain case³ and was found to be a reasonable estimate of the loss of access revenues for Midvale.
- 30. In order to implement two-way EAS, Midvale stated that it must also make additional capital investments. Midvale claims that, at full build-out, the total capital cost of deploying EAS for 529 subscribers in the Millsite Exchange is estimated to be \$108,400. The Company estimates that the additional per-month charge (for capital costs) to those 529 customers would be approximately \$2.05.
- 31. Although Midvale is not proposing to assess an EAS surcharge at this time, the Company estimated that the \$2.05 per month capital cost, combined with the \$10.45 per line in lost access revenue, would produce a total monthly EAS cost per access line for the Millsite Exchange of \$12.50. The residential rate for Midvale customers for one line of service in the Millsite Exchange is currently \$24.00 per month.
- 32. Midvale indicated that it has no objection to providing EAS service with no change in its tariff rates at this time. Therefore, customers would not initially be assessed additional charges for EAS calling privileges. However, Midvale indicated that implementation of EAS will ultimately result in a rate case filing to recover those costs.
- 33. Midvale predicts it will take a couple of years for it to break even financially based upon its new service area granted in Decision No. 66510. Midvale provided projected five-year operating statements, assuming that EAS would be implemented and assuming that EAS would not be implemented. Midvale projects that it would "realize a positive contribution" in 2006, the first full

a

year of operations, of \$43,584, if EAS is not implemented, versus a contribution of \$15,142, if EAS is implemented. The annual difference in revenue is \$28,442.

- 34. Midvale has indicated that it believes two-way EAS can be offered from the Millsite Exchange to Qwest's Prescott local calling area within six months of a Commission Order.
- 35. Staff recommended that Midvale's Millsite Exchange be added to the Prescott local calling area and that two-way EAS be established between Midvale's Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott local calling area.
- 36. Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") rules⁴ do not allow for numbers to be ported between ILEC rate centers. Therefore, in the event it is determined that customers of Midvale and Qwest should have the same local calling area, customers who move between service areas of the two respective ILECs (Midvale and Qwest) will not be able to retain their telephone numbers.
- 37. In the Customer Poll sent to customers and landowners in the Millsite Exchange CC&N area, Midvale asked recipients if they support EAS between the Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott local calling area based on an estimated cost of \$9 to \$13 per line per month. Midvale's witness testified that of the approximately 600 Customer Poll forms mailed out, the Company received 386 responses. Of the 386 forms returned to the Company, approximately 80 percent indicated support for the EAS request (June 14, 2004 Tr. 13). Although some of the responses expressed support for EAS only if no additional charge was imposed, even if those responses are excluded, almost 76 percent of the respondents support EAS based on the \$9 to \$13 per month assumption (Id. at 39).
- 38. At the June 14, 2004 hearing, Midvale's witness, Karen Ellison, was evasive regarding whether the \$9 to \$13 per month assumption was still valid (Id. at 14-26). Ms. Ellison indicated that Midvale's estimates were developed more than 18 months ago and, although the Company has no more recent estimates, she could not say "whether those estimates are still entirely accurate" (Id. at 15). She admitted that the Company is not seeking imposition of an EAS surcharge at this time and that Midvale would not be able to impose such a surcharge without the Commission's approval in the

In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability, Docket No. 95-116, Report and Order, (Rel. August 18, 1997) ("Second Report and Order").

4_.

context of a rate case. Ms. Ellison also expressed displeasure with the "delay" caused by requiring the Company to conduct a poll of customers regarding support for EAS because no similar poll was required in the Granite Mountain case (Decision No. 66171) (Tr. 24-25).

- 39. As indicated above, Staff's amended schedules indicate the requested EAS service between the Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott local calling area could ultimately result in per customer monthly charges of between \$3.98 and \$11.70 depending on the rate of return and number of customers assumed in the analysis. For example, investment cost recovery based on a rate of return assumption of 8.0 percent spread over 529 customers would result in the lowest estimated surcharge of \$3.98 per month. At the other extreme, using Midvale's current 10.37 percent authorized rate of return and costs spread over only 75 customers would result in an EAS surcharge estimate of \$11.70 (Ex. S-4 at 1).
- 40. Staff witness Will Shand testified that it is Staff's expectation that Midvale would not initially seek to recover EAS investment costs but would request a surcharge only to the extent necessary as part of a base rate case application (Tr. 36-37). Mr. Shand stated that Midvale has shown a community of interest exists between the Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott local calling area, and that the Customer Poll results, as well as comments expressed at the Prescott local hearing, reflect support by a substantial majority of customers for the requested EAS. Staff believes approval of the EAS request is in the public interest and should be approved (Id. at 38).
- As we stated in Decision No. 66510, the willingness of a substantial majority of the customers to pay the appropriate rates and charges is a basic and necessary condition to the institution of EAS. The demands of a few subscribers should not be the basis for instituting more costly telephone service contrary to the wishes of a majority of the customers. Therefore, despite Midvale's protestations, in cases where EAS is requested and customers' rates may potentially increase as a result, a poll of the customers in the requesting exchange is necessary and appropriate. In this case, we believe that the polling of customers undertaken by the Company, and reviewed by Staff, supports the conclusion that a substantial majority of the Millsite Exchange customers favors implementation of EAS with Qwest's Prescott local calling area. Accordingly, Midvale's request for two-way EAS between those exchanges shall be approved.

42. The determination of the estimated costs of EAS was necessary in this matter to conduct the customer poll. While we approve Midvale's request to offer EAS in the areas described in its application, we wish to make clear that we are making no determination as to the appropriate ratemaking treatment that should ultimately be accorded to the costs of implementing and maintaining EAS. However, the ratemaking treatment may be considered in a subsequent proceeding.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Midvale is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Midvale and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the request for EAS was provided in accordance with the law.
 - 4. There is a public need and necessity for EAS service in the proposed area.
 - 5. Midvale is a fit and proper entity to provide EAS service.
- 6. Approval of the requested EAS service is in the public interest and is supported by the record.
- 7. The polling of customers undertaken by Midvale supports the conclusion that a substantial majority of customers and potential customers in the Millsite Exchange supports the requested EAS service.
- 8. Staff's recommendation to approve the implementation of EAS between Midvale's Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott, Humboldt, and Chino Valley exchanges is reasonable and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc. to provide Extended Area Service between its Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott local calling area be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc. shall take all actions necessary to implement Extended Area Service between its Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott local calling area within six months of the effective date of this Decision.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Qwest Corporation shall cooperate with Midvale's efforts		
2	to implement Extended Area Service between its Millsite Exchange and Qwest's Prescott local		
3	calling area, and Qwest shall take all necessary measures to effectuate the Extended Area Service		
4	approved herein within six months of the effective date of this Decision.		
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
6	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.		
7	1 1 518/1100 ()		
8	Mez Wolff Ver Afrey to Hand Shell		
9	CHAIRMÁN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER		
10	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER		
11			
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have		
13	hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,		
14	this 10th day of August, 2004.		
15	X-/M/A		
16	BRIAN C. MCNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY		
17	Dicconstr		
18	DISSENT		
19	DISSENT		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	MIDVALE TELEPHONE EXCHANGE SERVICE, INC.
2	DOCKET NO.	T-02532A-03-0017
3		
4	Lane R. Williams Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc. P.O. Box 7	Joe F. Tarver 2960 N. Swan Road, Ste. 300 Tucson, AZ 85712-1292
5	Midvale, ID 83645	John Hayes, General Manager
6	Ms. Ann Hobart	Table Top Telephone Company, Inc. 600 North Second Avenue
7	Brown & Bain PA PO Box 400	Ajo, AZ 85321
8	Phoenix, AZ 85011-0400	Timothy Berg
9	Conley E. Ward Cynthia A. Melillo	Theresa Dwyer Darcy Renfro
10	GIVENS PURSLEY, LLP 277 North 6 th Street, Ste. 200	FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2600
11	P.O. Box 2720 Boise, ID 83701	Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorneys for Qwest Corporation
12	Timothy Berg	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
13	Theresa Dwyer	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
14	Darcy Renfro FENNEMORE CRAIG	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
15	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2 Phoenix, AZ 85012	Ernest Johnson, Director
16	Attorneys for Qwest Corporation	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
17		1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
23		
25		
26		
27		